Interpreting new Fed chair Kevin Warsh

CAPITAL CONTOURS

BY RAY CHOY

There has been significant anxiety in financial markets over the recent rhetoric from the US Federal Reserve, led by newly appointed chair Kevin Warsh. The tone was perceived as hawkish, and additional concerns emerged as Warsh suggested that there are inherent risks in the way central banks provide forward guidance, leading the market towards specific outcomes and, consequently, constraining the range of alternative possibilities. As he stated:

"Financial market prices are probably the most important source of information to guide central bankers. But when all the financial markets are doing is reflecting back what we've said, then we're taking the most important source of information, and we're being blind to it. I'd like us to create a system where those blinders come off ..."

This statement is profound and fundamentally rooted in economic and financial philosophy, which can be analysed through the lenses of Karl Popper, George Soros and the rational expectations theory.

Historically, major central banks have shifted their communication strategies significantly. In the past, they placed a heavy emphasis on full transparency. However, these preferences change over time depending on the prevailing economic philosophy and the communication styles promoted by dominant central banks. Because the Fed acts as a forerunner in policymaking styles, its structural shifts carry important implications globally, heavily influencing how other central banks around the world consider and implement their own policies.

From a Popperian perspective, knowledge advances through a process of conjecture and refutation, a concept aligned with the Hegelian dialectic of thesis, antithesis and synthesis. In central banking, a hypothesis regarding inflation and growth serves as the input for an interest rate decision, causing financial markets to converge towards a specific price or yield level. The problem arises when excessive forward guidance destroys this feedback loop by stifling the process of refutation. By removing the challenge of falsification, it becomes much more difficult for independent market price discovery to signal that the central bank's underlying economic assumptions might be incorrect.

A constructive characterisation is Soros' theory of reflexivity, which posits that prices are not merely passive signals, but active causes. Central banks strongly influence beliefs about the economy and market prices, which in turn affect real economic growth and inflation outcomes. While Soros explicitly detailed how these policy signals alter real-world conditions, Warsh emphasises the importance of policymakers learning from financial markets. This highlights a critical distinction of the difference between actively constructing market perceptions versus expanding informational efficiency. Interpreting Warsh's viewpoint, markets provide the greatest utility when they function as an independent discovery process that challenges policymakers, rather than acting as a mirror that merely reflects official rhetoric and confines insight to a small group of individuals.

Warsh has emphasised a long-standing critique of the rational expectations theory which overlaps with the efficient market hypothesis. Under rational expectations, shaping expectations is seen as a policy tool whereby markets can more fully incorporate information provided by a central bank, making the central bank's policy more effective. However, the paradox is that this disincentivises the markets from composing and distributing independent and additional information which could potentially complement what is known at a central bank. For example, if the central bank says interest rates will be X% next year, analysts forecast X% next year, financial markets price X% next year, then the financial markets will have learnt nothing or have provided nothing by way of additional information, diminishing an essential role. Furthermore, while some policymakers recommend "full" disclosure, some recommend just "sufficient" disclosure such that the surprise element of monetary policy makes interest rates a more effective tool in influencing financial market prices.

However, for the way forward, financial markets need to be convinced to see this from the perspective that independent accurate forecasting based on skill and closing the information gap rewards participants, rather than from the negative perspective that surprises erode central bank credibility. In such an environment, artificers of information will find themselves increasingly relevant and well positioned to benefit from detecting shifts in interest rate policy.

While Warsh's preference for limitations to communication may cause temporary discomfort due to increased uncertainty, the core risk management function of the central bank remains unchanged. It is highly unlikely that Warsh intends to generate excessive market volatility, which means rigid hawkish or dovish classifications should be avoided, even if markets currently lean towards the former interpretation.

While the popular belief is that Warsh is hawkish due to his inflation focus in the past, this belief can be misinformed as inflation data has become harder to decipher due to factors such as volatile energy prices and tariff-induced inflation. Beyond Warsh's credentials as a former Fed governor, his professional experience as a market practitioner is equally relevant, having spent a substantial part of his career with the Duquesne Family Office, managing the assets of Stanley Druckenmiller, who gained fame for the 1992 Soros Quantum Fund trade against the British pound. This could likely explain the alignment of his ideas regarding the reflexivity of information in financial markets. Warsh has spent a substantial portion of his career observing markets not only from the perspective of a policymaker, but also from that of a market participant allocating capital and assessing risk.

This background deeply informs how he interprets financial market signals. A consistent theme in Warsh's recent commentary is that market prices must provide information to policymakers rather than simply echo central bank guidance. In this framework, the level and behaviour of US Treasury yields become much more than a mere transmission mechanism for policy. They serve as a primary source of data on economic expectations, inflation risks, fiscal sustainability and broader financial conditions.

As a result, the Fed's focus under this approach may shift away from mechanically following a pre-announced path towards responding dynamically to real-time market developments. If long-term yields rise sharply and tighten financial conditions enough to increase mortgage costs and slow economic activity, a market-oriented policymaker will view that move as a vital signal requiring attention. Conversely, if yields remain stable despite changes in policy settings, it suggests that markets are aligned with the broader macroeconomic trajectory and may not require further policy intervention.

This approach represents a greater reliance on market-based feedback and less dependence on rigid forward guidance. The ultimate question goes beyond where rates and economic variables such as inflation are headed to how future policymakers will interpret the information embedded in asset prices, credit spreads and the US Treasury yield curve. E

Dr Ray Choy is chief economist at MARC Ratings Bhd and adjunct professor of industry at Universiti Tunku Abdul Rahman. The views in this article are his own and may not necessarily represent the views of the company.